

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/040,843	01/07/2002	James Samsoondar	31773-CIP1	31773-CIP1 3741	
23589 75	12/08/2006		EXAMINER		
HOVEY WILLIAMS LLP			LIN, JERRY		
2405 GRAND I KANSAS CITY	BLVD., SUITE 400 7. MO 64108		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
,			1631	1631	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/040,843	SAMSOONDAR, JAMES	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jerry Lin	1631	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Air Oill					
	Jerry Lin	1631					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		-					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31: or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the linar rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dates.	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	cliance with 27 CER 41 27 must be	ما المان					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	□ will not be entered or b) ⊠ wil	I he entered and an o	valanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.	i de entereu anu an e	xpiariation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-18,20,22 and 29-40</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected. 12-16,20,22 and 29-40. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See continuation.</u>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of Note #7 and 11:

The Applicants have responded to the rejection made under 35 U.S.C. §103 by stating that Megevand et al. does not teach having an open end of the pipette tip. The Examiner disagrees. Although Megevand et al., does teach sealing one end with a mite proff gauze, that end of the pipette tip is open before the gauze is applied and after the gauze is removed. Thus the teachings of Megevand et al. does permit one of oridinary skill in the art to use the pipette as a reservoir for the method taught by Bjornson et al.

The rejection made under 35 U.S.C. §103 are maintained for reasons of record.

The Information Disclosure Statement filed November 11, 2006 has been placed in the file, but it has not been considered. Please see 37 CFR §1.97.

MICHAEL BORIN, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER